Chronomics, neuroendocrine feedsidewards and the recording and consulting of nowcasts—forecasts of geomagnetics
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Abstract

A multi-center four-hourly sampling of many tissues for 7 days (00:00 on April 5–20:00 to April 11, 2004), on rats standardized for 1 month in two rooms on antiphasic lighting regimens happened to start on the day after the second extremum of a moderate double magnetic storm gauged by the planetary geomagnetic Kp index (which at each extremum reached 6.3 international [arbitrary] units) and by an equatorial index Dst falling to −112 and −81 nT, respectively, the latter on the first day of the sampling. Neuroendocrine chronomes (specifically circadian time structures) differed during magnetically affected and quiet days. The circadian melatonin rhythm had a lower MESOR and lower circadian amplitude and tended to advance in acrophase, while the MESOR and amplitude of the hypothalamic circadian melatonin rhythm were higher during the days with the storm. The circadian parameters of circulating corticosterone were more labile during the days including the storm than during the last three quiet days. Feedsidewards within the pineal–hypothalamic–adrenocortical network constitute a mechanism underlying physiological and probably also pathological associations of the brain and heart with magnetic storms. Investigators in many fields can gain from at least recording calendar dates in any publication so that freely available information on geomagnetic, solar and other physical environmental activity can be looked up. In planning studies and before starting, one may gain from consulting forecasts and the highly reliable nowcasts, respectively.

© 2005 Elsevier SAS. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

A review by Zhadin [1] traces major interest in biomedical and sociological associations of magnetic fields in Russia to the 1880s. Research on the “physiological action of electricity over a distance” by the physiologist V. Danilevsky, at the turn of the 20th century, was followed by lifetime emphases by V. Vernadsky and A.L. Chizhevsky of the role of “cosmic processes in the rise of life and humanity”, as they put it. Ever since, a heliobiology has been central to (and important far beyond) biomedical science in Russia [2–4]. As in the West, however, inferential statistical approaches were scarce and interval estimates of the periods and other characteristics of any cycles involved were lacking. With notable exceptions [5–12], any transdisciplinary unseen effects of the sun are largely ignored in the West since the intensities involved are low and a plausible mechanism mediating effects seems to be lacking. Burch et al. [13] and Weydahl et al. [14] had earlier reported a decrease in human urinary melatonin in connection with heightened geomagnetic activity. Bardassano et al. [15] observed a reduction in synaptic ribbons of pinealocytes of rats during geomagnetic storms, compared with quiet days. Sliwowska et al. [16], using [125I] melatonin for receptor autoradiography, report on immunoreactive neurons in the hypothalami of sheep as targets for melatonin. This may be the first report of an in situ lower around-the-clock average (MESOR) of melatonin and of a smaller amplitude of its circadian rhythm in the pineal, of the opposite in the hypothalamus and of adrenocortical involvement, in association with a magnetic storm, so that participation by feedsideways is documented for the rat’s circadian system [17]. An effect of a magnetic storm was studied earlier electron-microscopically [18] and physiologically, and a phase-shift of the rabbit’s cardiac circadian system was documented [19].

2. Materials and methods

In our study, during 7 consecutive days, tissues from inbred Wistar rats were sampled during daytime working hours only at three consecutive 4-h intervals in Pécs, Hungary [20–22]. These animals had been standardized for a month in two rooms, one room on a regimen of light (L) from 09:00 to 21:00, the other in L from 21:00 to 09:00, alternating with darkness (the latter the reversed room). The shift of the circadian body core temperature rhythm was first ascertained in a subsample of rats. That the rhythm of circulating corticosterone in the reversed room had been shifted, was found prospectively on the first day of study, by actual around-the-clock blood sampling in each room for 24 h at 4-h intervals. Retrospectively, shifts were established further for plasma melatonin as well as corticosterone by comparison of the profiles obtained by only 12-h/day sampling (from rooms with antiphase lighting), with data obtained by others and ourselves earlier ([17]; cf. http://www.msi.umn.edu/~halberg/). The data thus presumably correspond to around-the-clock four-hourly sampling for 7 days, with the qualification that in this particular case any transient effects of excessive magnetic activity remain unassessed by night.

The first days of the 7-day “round the clock study” (with daytime sampling on antiphase lighting regimens) happened to include and/or follow promptly after a second extremum of a moderate double magnetic storm. Kp reached a value of 6.3 on April 3 at 18:00 and on April 4 at midnight, before the start of the study; Dst fell to –112 nT on April 4 at 00:30 and to –81 nT on April 5 at 19:30, Fig. 1.

The data were analyzed by cosinor [17] to obtain estimates of the chronome (time structure)-adjusted average (M), of the circadian amplitude (A), a measure of half of the extent of change within a day, and of the circadian acrophase (φ), a measure of the timing of overall high values recurring each day.

3. Results

The MESOR and circadian amplitude were both lower for pineal melatonin and higher for hypothalamic melatonin on the stormy vs. quiet days, Fig. 2. There was a statistically significant interdian difference in parameters (M, A, φ) of the circadian circulating corticosterone rhythm among days 1–3 but not among days 5–7, Table 1.

4. Discussion and conclusion

The very small amount of melatonin in the hypothalamus notwithstanding, a circadian melatonin rhythm was earlier demonstrated in this gland and had been shown to differ
both in the hypothalamus and in the pituitary in its timing vs. the pineal [27,28]. A lead in phase of the hypothalamic melatonin rhythm was also found in this study, although the effect of a phase difference in the Wistar rats studied herein was smaller than that found in the spontaneously hypertensive stroke-prone rat of Kozo Okamoto, Fig. 3 [27,28]. Whether melatonin in the hypothalamus is derived from the pineal or locally or from elsewhere, multiple hypothalamic interaction—time-qualified feedsidewards [17], Fig. 4a–c—rather than time-unqualified feedbacks or feedforwards, may be involved. The adrenal cortex responds directly to melatonin in vitro circadian-periodically and responds, also in vitro, via an effect upon pituitary ACTH secretion. The pineal, hypothalamus and adrenal cortex may all be activated by magnetic storms. The almost certain, indirect or direct, involvement of the hypothalamus in situ, shown perhaps for the first time, in Table 1 and Fig. 2, is in keeping with the findings of the role of emotions associated with both the hypothalamus and magnetic storms [17]. The shake-up of adrenal cortical secretion, with ubiquitous central and peripheral effects, provides a mechanism for the varied associations of magnetic storms as well. The manifold associations reported on mood, affect, religious proselytism, wars and cardiovascular pathophysiology now have a plausible mechanism [1,4–10,17]. Ref. [17] is pertinent for a tentative interpretation in the light of Fig. 4a–c.

The use of rooms with staggered lighting, beyond investigations of the variables here studied may also be of interest to laboratory investigators broadly. The qualification that any transient effects of magnetic storms by night will be missed, because of the antiphasic sampling design, stands, as noted above. It is also clear, however, that the effects were sufficiently prominent to be seen by sampling only during daytime.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geomagnetics (condition)</th>
<th>Sampling (days of study)</th>
<th>M (A, φ)</th>
<th>A (A, φ)</th>
<th>φ (M, A, φ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stormy 1–2*</td>
<td>796.8 722.2 –288</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiet 6–7</td>
<td>1052.0 1024.0 –309</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.021 0.051 0.054 0.020 0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothalamic melatonin (pg/tissue)</td>
<td>11.87 10.52 –258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormy 1–2*</td>
<td>6.48 4.92 –271</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiet 6–7</td>
<td>0.005 0.033 0.521 0.129 0.016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.000 0.066 0.130 0.040 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulating corticosterone† (log10%)</td>
<td>1.83 0.41 –209</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormy 1</td>
<td>2.02 0.25 –183</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.78 0.17 –231</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt;0.00 0.066 0.130 0.040 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quiet 5</td>
<td>1.91 0.31 –209</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.02 0.23 –235</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.01 0.23 –196</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.410 0.754 0.439 0.652 0.643</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Results complicated by novelty effect. Difference in MESOR between days 1–2 and 6–7 remains demonstrable for both pineal and hypothalamic melatonin after log10-transformation of the data (to normalize their distribution).
†Data expressed as % of mean in rats of each sex separately, pooled and log10-transformed.

ST = 2 days of the second part of a moderate double magnetic storm, Q = 2 days of magnetic quiet, gauged by the geomagnetic equatorial disturbance index Dst in nT (plotted, top) of -115 and -80 and Kp (a planetary magnetic disturbance index of slightly above 6 in arbitrary units in each storm (Kp not shown).

* HALO = hours after light on. Vertical lines straddling bars are 95% confidence intervals. P < 0.05 in each comparison, except for φ in hypothalamus.

Fig. 2. Observations on the equatorial geomagnetic index Dst (top), on the hypothalamus, Ht (middle) that may be activated via the storm directly and/ or via the pineal, Pin (bottom). Concerning an effect of the storm (top) upon the neuroendocrine network (below), also showing adenocortical involvement, Table 1, these unplanned observations on rats can be aligned with observations of a decreased urinary excretion of melatonin during magnetic storms [13,14] in keeping with a damping of pineal function, including its dynamics, gauged by the lower circadian amplitude during the storm. This “experiment of nature” offers a putative mechanism that cannot be dissected in vivo in humans. Nonetheless, post hoc ergo propter hoc reasoning cannot be excluded and will require rebuttal or confirmation. A solution may be most readily available if investigators of pineal function check the presence or absence of a magnetic storm during their studies and, if so, compare data from magnetically stormy and quiet days after the cosinor-computation of parameters. In any event, original data or circadian parameters can be sent to cornel01@umn.edu for integration with the results summarized herein and elsewhere [28]. © Halberg.
Fig. 3a. Circadian stage-dependence of melatonin in the anterior pituitary gland. Original studies of Dr. Salvador Sanchez de la Peña. © Halberg.

Fig. 3b. Circadian stage-dependence of melatonin in the hypothalamus. Original studies of Dr. Salvador Sanchez de la Peña. © Halberg.

Fig. 3c. Cosinor summary indicating the phase relations between the circadian variation of melatonin in the pineal gland, the anterior pituitary gland, and the hypothalamus. Original studies of Dr. Salvador Sanchez de la Peña. © Halberg.

Fig. 3d. Lead in phase of melatonin in the gut vs. that in the pineal (P < 0.05). Original studies of Dr. Michel Zeman and Dr. Rita Jozsa, and of Burkhard Pöggeler and Rudiger Hardeland (RH). © Halberg.
Still more generally, investigators, not only of pineal, hypothalamic and adrenocortical function, may review geomagnetic activity in past studies, record it at least as dates in publications, and in the future may consult those who predict space weather, which in part drives geomagnetic activity [29–31]. It seems reasonable to suggest that experiments be planned flexibly, so as to be able to postpone the start of a study when a magnetic storm is predicted by planetary K-indices and/or the equatorial Dst index and/or by many other correlated means. Looking at storms' antecedents in the sun before starting a study is an added precaution, complementing the standardization of lighting [17,32]. It is being practiced by investigators experienced in this field [33,34]. A solar and geophysical activity report and 3-day forecast are available at http://sec.noaa.gov/forecast.html. Information about this product is at http://sec.noaa.gov/forecasts/RSGA/README.
The new evidence herein shows a pathway for the sometimes devastating magnetic storm effects [1], including those upon the rabbit heart described in the laboratory [18,19] and epidemiologically, with human data on myocardial infarction and sudden cardiac death [9,10,35,36]. Great geographic differences in the chronoepidemiology of sudden cardiac death after the 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD10, code I46.1), suggest that the large magnet earth may modify the effect of the huge but far magnet sun [35]. Whether the stock market is also dramatically involved [37] is rightly being investigated, although a sign test does not yet allow a definitive conclusion on the data of Fig. V in [37]. It would not be surprising in view of early [38,39] and more recent [40–42] references to space weather and crops to find transdisciplinary effects by rigorous methodology. Looking up a space weather report when past, present and future studies were done is simple and in many ways rewarding. It certainly prompted this report.
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